arXiv:1612.02760v1 [math.DS] 8 Dec 2016

MISIUREWICZ PARAMETERS AND DYNAMICAL STABILITY OF POLYNOMIAL-LIKE MAPS OF LARGE TOPOLOGICAL DEGREE

FABRIZIO BIANCHI

Given a family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree, we relate the presence of Misiurewicz parameters to a growth condition of the postcritical volume. This allows us to generalize to this setting the theory of stability and bifurcation developed by Berteloot, Dupont and the author for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$.

Key Words: holomorphic dynamics, polynomial-like maps, dynamical stability, Lyapunov exponents.

MSC 2010: 32H50, 32U40, 37F45, 37F50, 37H15.

1. Introduction and results

The goal of this paper is to study the dynamical stability of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree, generalizing to this setting the theory developed in [BBD15] for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. Our main result relates bifurcation in such families with the volume growth of the postcritical set under iteration, generalizing the one-dimensional equivalence between dynamical stability and normalily of the critical orbits.

The study of dynamical stability within families of holomorphic dynamical systems f_λ goes back to the 80s, when Lyubich [Lyu83] and Mañé-Sad-Sullivan [MSS83] independently set the foundations of the study of holomorphic families of rational maps in dimension 1. They proved that various natural definitions of stability (like the holomorphic motion of the repelling cycles, or of the Julia set, or the Hausdorff continuity of this latter) are actually equivalent and that the stability locus is dense in the parameter space.

An important breakthrough in the field happened in 2000, when De Marco [DeM01, DeM03] proved a formula relating the *Lyapunov function* $L(\lambda)$ of a rational map (equal to the integral of the logarithm of the Jacobian of f_λ with respect to the unique measure of maximal entropy for f_λ) to the critical dynamics of the family. It turns out that the canonical closed and positive (1,1)-current $dd^c_\lambda L$ on the parameter space is exactly supported on the bifurcation locus, and this allowed for the start of a measure-theoretic study of bifurcations.

In recent years, there has been a lot of activity in trying to generalize the picture by Lyubich, Mañé-Sad-Sullivan and De Marco to higher dimension. The works by Berger, Dujardin and Lyubich [DL13, BD14] are dedicated to the stability of Hénon maps, while the work [BBD15] is concerned with the case of families of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. This is the higher-dimensional analogue of rational maps, and the bifurcation locus in this setting turns out to coincide with the support of $dd^c L$, as in dimension 1. The generalization to this setting of De Marco's formula due to Bassanelli-Berteloot [BB07] is crucial in this study. The goal of this paper is to generalize the description

This research was partially supported by the ANR project LAMBDA, ANR-13-BS01-0002 and by the FIRB2012 grant "Differential Geometry and Geometric Function Theory", RBFR12W1AQ 002.

given in [BBD15] to the setting of polynomial like maps of large topological degree, focusing on the relation between stability and critical dynamics.

Polynomial-like maps are proper holomorphic functions $f : U \to V$, where $U \Subset V \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ and V is convex. They must be thought of as a generalization of the endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ (since lifts of these give rise to polynomial-like maps). The dynamical study of polynomial-like maps in any dimension was undertaken by Dinh-Sibony [DS03]. They proved that such systems admit a canonically defined measure of maximal entropy. Moreover, if we restrict to polynomial-like maps of large topological degree (see Definition 2.7) this *equilibrium measure* enjoys much of the properties of its counterpart for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. The main difference is the lack of a potential for this measure.

In order to state the main results of this paper we have to give some preliminary definitions. The first one, introduced in [BBD15] for endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$, concerns *Misiurewicz parameters*. These are the higher-dimensional analogue of the maps with a strictly preperiodic critical point in dimension 1 and are the key to understand the interplay between bifurcation and critical dynamics.

Definition 1.1. *Let f_λ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. A point $\lambda_0 \in M$ is called a* Misiurewicz parameter *if there exist a neighbourhood $N_{\lambda_0} \subset M$ of λ_0 and a holomorphic map $\sigma \colon N_{\lambda_0} \to \mathbb{C}^k$ such that:*

(1) for every $\lambda \in N_{\lambda_0}$, $\sigma(\lambda)$ is a repelling periodic point;
(2) $\sigma(\lambda_0)$ is in the Julia set J_{λ_0} of f_{λ_0};
(3) there exists an n_0 such that $(\lambda_0, \sigma(\lambda_0)) \in f^{n_0}(C_f)$;
(4) $\sigma(N_{\lambda_0}) \not\subseteq f^{n_0}(C_f)$.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem A. *Let f_λ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree. Assume that λ_0 is a Misiurewicz parameter. Then, $\lambda_0 \in \operatorname{Supp} dd^c L$.*

The approach to this statement in the case of $\mathbb{P}^k$ [BBD15] relies on the existence of a potential – the Green function – for the equilibrium measures. We thus adopt a different (and more geometrical) approach. A crucial step in establishing the result above is proving the following Theorem, which can be seen as a generalization of the fact that, in dimension 1, the bifurcation locus coincides with the non-normality locus of some critical orbit.

Theorem B. *Let f_λ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree d_t. Then*

$$dd^c L = 0 \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|(f^n)_* \, C_f\| < \log c,$$

where c is some constant (depending on the family) smaller than d_t.

The proof of this result relies on the theory of slicing of currents and more precisely on the use of equilibrium currents, which was initiated by Pham [Pha05] (see also [DS10]).

In the second part of the paper we exploit Theorem A to generalize the theory developed in [BBD15] to the setting of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{J} := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k) \colon \gamma(\lambda) \in J_\lambda \text{ for every } \lambda \in M\}$$

The family $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$ naturally induces an action on $\mathcal{J}$, by $(\mathcal{F} \cdot \gamma)(\lambda) := f_\lambda(\gamma(\lambda))$. We denote by Γ_γ the graph in the product space of the element $\gamma \in \mathcal{J}$. The following is then the analogous of holomorphic motion of Julia sets in this setting (see [BBD15]).

Definition 1.2. *An* equilibrium lamination *is an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ such that*

(1) $\Gamma_\gamma \cap \Gamma_{\gamma'} = \emptyset$ for every distinct $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{L}$,
(2) $\mu_\lambda(\{\gamma(\lambda), \gamma \in \mathcal{L}\}) = 1$ for every $\lambda \in M$, where μ_λ is the equilibrium measure of f_λ.
(3) Γ_γ does not meet the grand orbit of the critical set of f for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$,
(4) the map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ is d^k to 1.

We also need a weak notion of holomorphic motion for the repelling cycles in the Julia set, the *repelling J-cycles*. Notice that in higher dimension repelling points may be outside the Julia set ([HP94, FS01]).

Definition 1.3. *We say that* asymptotically all J-cycles move holomorphically *if there exists a subset $\mathcal{P} = \cup_n \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that*

(1) $\operatorname{Card} \mathcal{P}_n = d^n + o(d^n)$;
(2) every $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is n-periodic; and
(3) for every $M' \Subset M$, asymptotically every element of $\mathcal{P}$ is repelling, i.e.,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Card}\{ \text{ repelling cycles in } \mathcal{P}_n\}}{\operatorname{Card} \mathcal{P}_n} \to 1.$$

Stability can be then characterized as follows.

Theorem C. *Let f_λ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that the parameter space is simply connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

A.1 asymptotically all J-cycles move holomorphically;
A.2 there exists an equilibrium lamination for f;
A.3 the Lyapunov function is pluriharmonic;
A.4 there are no Misiurewicz parameters.

The implication A.3 $\Rightarrow$ A.4 is given by Theorem A and we shall prove in detail the implication A.2 $\Rightarrow$ A.1 (see Section 4.3). The proof of an analogous statement (giving the holomorphic motion of all repelling J-cycles) on $\mathbb{P}^k$ ([BBD15]) needs some assumptions on the family to avoid possible phenomena of non-linearizability (similar to some of the hypotheses required in [DL13] in the setting of Hénon maps). The proof that we present, although it gives a slightly weaker result, has the value to apply to every family. Our strategy is a generalization to the space of holomorphic graphs of the method, due to Briend-Duval [BD99], to recover the equidistribution of the repelling periodic points with respect to the equilibrum measure from the fact that all Lyapunov exponenents are strictly positive.

For the other implications, the strategy is essentially the same as on $\mathbb{P}^k$, and minor work (if any) is needed to adapt the proofs to the current setting. We shall thus just focus on the differences, referring the reader to [Bia16] for the omitted details.

Finally, let us just mention that, even for families of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$, the conditions in Theorem C are in general not equivalent to the Hausdorff continuity of the Julia sets (see [BT16]). Moreover, these conditions do not define a dense subset of the parameter space (see [BT16, Duj16]). These are differences with respect to the dimension 1.

Aknowledgements. It is pleasure to thank my advisor François Berteloot for introducing me to this subject, his patient guidance and the careful reading of this paper. I would like to thank also Charles Favre whose comments were very useful to improve both the content and the exposition of this paper.

2. Families of polynomial-like maps

Unless otherwise stated, all the results presented here are due to Dinh-Sibony (see [DS03, DS10]).

2.1. **Polynomial-like maps.** The starting definition is the following.

Definition 2.1. *A* polynomial-like *map is a proper holomorphic map $g : U \to V$, where $U \Subset V$ are open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and V is convex.*

A polynomial-like map is in particular a (branched) holomorphic covering from U to V, of a certain degree d_t (the topological degree of g). We shall always assume that $d_t \geq 2$. The *filled Julia set* K is the subset of U given by $K := \bigcap_{n\geq 0} g^{-n}(U)$. Notice that $g^{-1}(K) = K = g(K)$ and thus (K, g) is a well-defined dynamical system. Lifts of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ are polynomial-like maps. Moreover, polynomial-like maps are stable under small perturbations.

For a polynomial-like map g, the knowledge of the topological degree is not enough to predict the volume growth of analytic subsets. We are thus lead to consider more general degrees than the topological one. In the following definition, we denote by ω the standard Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Moreover, recall that the mass of a positive (p,p)-current T on a Borel set X is given by $\|T\| = \int_X T \wedge \omega^{k-p}$.

Definition 2.2. *Given a polynomial-like map $g : U \to V$, the* *-dynamical degree of order p, *for $0 \leq p \leq k$, of g is given by*

$$d_p^*(g) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_S \|(g^n)_*(S)\|_W^{1/n}$$

where $W \Subset V$ is a neighbourhood of K and the sup is taken over all positive closed $(k-p, k-p)$-currents of mass less or equal than 1 on a fixed neighbourhood $W' \Subset V$ of K.

It is quite straighforward to check that this definition does not depend on the particular neighbourhoods W and W' chosen for the computations. Moreover, the following hold: $d_0^* = 1$, $d_k^* = d_t$, $d_p^*(g^m) = \big(d_p^*(g)\big)^m$ and a relation $d_p^* < d_t$ is preserved by small perturbations.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g \colon U \to V$ be a polynomial-like map and ν be a probability measure supported on V which is defined by an L^1 form. Then $d_t^{-n}(g^n)^*\nu$ converge to a probability measure μ which does not depend on ν. Moreover, for any psh function ϕ on a neighbourhood of K the sequence $d_t^{-n}(g^n)_*\phi$ converge to $\langle \mu, \phi\rangle \in \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R}$. The measure μ is ergodic, mixing and satisfies $g^*\mu = d_t\mu$.*

The convergence of $d_t^{-n}(g^n)_*\phi$ in Theorem 2.3 is in L^p_{loc} for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ if $\langle \mu, \phi\rangle$ is finite, locally uniform otherwise.

Definition 2.4. *The measure μ given by Theorem 2.3 is called the* equilibrium measure *of g. The support of μ is the* Julia set *of g, denoted with J_g.*

The assumption on ν to be defined by a L^1 form can be relaxed to just asking that ν does not charge pluripolar sets. The following Theorem ensures that μ itself does not charge the critical set of g. Notice that μ may charge proper analytic subsets. This is a difference with respect to the case of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : U \to V$ be a polynomial-like map of degree d_t. Then $\langle \mu, \log|\mathrm{Jac}_g|\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}\log d_t$.*

A consequence of Theorem 2.5 (by Parry Theorem [Par69]) is that the equilibrium measure has entropy at least $\log d_t$. It is thus a measure of maximal entropy (see [DS10]). Another important consequence is the existence, by Oseledets Theorem [Ose68] of the *Lyapunov exponents* $\chi_i(g)$ of a polynomial-like map with respect to the equilibrium measure μ.

Definition 2.6. *The* Lyapunov function $L(g)$ *is the sum*

$$L(g) = \sum \chi_i(g).$$

By Oseledets and Birkhoff Theorems, it follows that $L(g) = \langle \mu, \log|\mathrm{Jac}|\rangle$. By Theorem 2.5, we thus have $L(g) \geq \frac{1}{2}\log d_t$ for every polynomial-like map g.

2.2. **Maps of large topological degree.** Recall that the *-dynamical degrees were defined in Definition 2.2.

Definition 2.7. *A polynomial-like map is of* large topological degree *if* $d^*_{k-1} < d_t$.

Notice that holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ (and thus their polynomial-like lifts) satify the above estimate. Morever, a small perturbation of a polynomial-like map of large topological degree still satisfy this property.

The equilibrium measure of a polynomial-like map of large topological degree integrates psh function, and thus in particular does not charge pluripolar sets (see [DS10, Theorem 2.33]).

We end this section recalling two equidistribution properties ([DS03, DS10]) of the equilibrium measure of a polynomial-like map of large topological degree.

Theorem 2.8. *Let* $g\colon U \to V$ *be a polynomial-like map of large topological degree* $d_t \geq 2$.

(1) Let R_n *denote the set of repelling* n*-periodic points in the Julia set* J*. Then*

$$\frac{1}{d_t^n}\sum_{a\in R_n}\delta_a \to \mu.$$

(2) There exists a proper analytic set $\mathcal{E}$ *(possibly empty) contained in the postcritical set of* g *such that*

$$d_t^{-n}\,(g^n)^*\,\delta_a = \frac{1}{d_t^n}\sum_{g^n(b)=a}\delta_b \to \mu$$

if and only if a *does not belong to the orbit of* $\mathcal{E}$.

An important consequence of the proof of (the second part of) Theorem 2.8 is that all Lyapunov exponents of a polynomial-like map of large topological degree are bounded below by $\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{d_t}{d^*_{k-1}} > 0$. This property will play a very important role in the proof of Theorem A. It is also crucial to establish the existence of an equilibrium lamination from the motion of the repelling points, see [BBD15, Bia16].

2.3. **Holomorphic families.** We now come to the main object of our study.

Definition 2.9. *Let* M *be a complex manifold and* $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{V}$ *be connected open subsets of* $M \times \mathbb{C}^k$. *Denote by* π_M *the standard projection* $\pi_M : M \times \mathbb{C}^k \to M$. *Suppose that for every* $\lambda \in M$, *the two sets* $U_\lambda := \mathcal{U} \cap \pi^{-1}(\lambda)$ *and* $V_\lambda := \mathcal{V} \cap \pi^{-1}(\lambda)$ *satisfy* $\emptyset \neq U_\lambda \Subset V_\lambda \Subset \mathbb{C}^k$, *that* U_λ *is connected and that* V_λ *is convex. Moreover, assume that* U_λ *and* V_λ *depend continuously on* λ *(in the sense of*

Hausdorff). A holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps *is a proper holomorphic map $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ fibered over M, i.e., of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} f: \ \mathcal{U} &\to \mathcal{V} \\ (\lambda, z) &\mapsto (\lambda, f_\lambda(z)). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition, f has a well defined topological degree, that we shall always denote with d_t and assume to be greater than 1. In particular, each $f_\lambda : U_\lambda \to V_\lambda$ is a polynomial-like map, of degree d_t. We shall denote by μ_λ, J_λ and K_λ the equilibrium measure, the Julia set and the filled Julia set of f_λ, while C_f, Jac_f and C_f will be the critical set, the determinant of the (complex) jacobian matrix of f and the integration current $dd^c \log |\mathrm{Jac}_f|$. We may drop the subscript f if no confusion arises.

It is immediate to see that the filled Julia set K_λ varies upper semicontinuously with λ for the Hausdoff topology. This allows us, when dealing with local problems to assume that V_λ does not depend on λ, i.e., that $\mathcal{V} = M \times V$, with V an open, convex and relatively compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^k$. On the other hand, the Julia set is lower semicontinuous in λ for a family of maps of large topological degree ([DS10]).

We now recall the construction, due to Pham [Pha05], of an *equilibrium current* for a family of polynomial-like maps. This is based on the following Theorem. We recall that a *horizontal current* on a product space $M \times V$ is a current whose support is contained in $M \times L$, where L is some compact subset of V. We refer to [Fed96] (see also [DS10, HS74, Siu74]) for the basics on slicing.

Theorem 2.10 (Dinh-Sibony [DS06], Pham [Pha05])**.** *Let M and V two complex manifolds, of dimension m and k. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a horizontal positive closed (k,k)-current and ψ a psh function on $M \times V$. Then*

(1) the slice $\langle \mathcal{R}, \pi, \lambda \rangle$ of $\mathcal{R}$ at λ with respect to the projection $\pi : M \times V \to M$ exists for every $\lambda \in M$, and its mass is independend from λ;
(2) the function $g_{\psi,\mathcal{R}}(\lambda) := \langle \mathcal{R}, \pi, \lambda \rangle (\psi(\lambda, \cdot))$ is psh (or identically $-\infty$).

If $\langle \mathcal{R}, \pi, \lambda_0 \rangle (\psi(\lambda_0, \cdot)) > -\infty$ for some $\lambda_0 \in M$, then

(3) the product $\psi\mathcal{R}$ is well defined;
(4) for every Ω continuous form of maximal degree compactly supported on M we have

$$\int_M \langle \mathcal{R}, \pi, \lambda \rangle (\psi) \Omega(\lambda) = \langle \mathcal{R} \wedge \pi^*(\Omega), \psi \rangle. \tag{1}$$

In particular, the pushforward $\pi_*(\psi\mathcal{R})$ is well defined and coincides with the psh function $g_{\psi,\mathcal{R}}$.

Consider now a family of polynomial-like maps $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} = M \times V$. Let θ be a smooth probability measure compactly supported in V and consider the (positive and closed) smooth (k,k)-currents on $M \times V$ defined by induction as

$$\begin{cases} S_0 = \pi_V^*(\theta) \\ S_n := \frac{1}{d_t} f^* S_{n-1} = \frac{1}{d_t^n} (f^n)^* S_0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The S_n' are in particular horizontal positive closed (k,k)-currents on $M \times V$, whose slice mass is equal to 1. Moreover, since by definition we have $\langle S_0, \pi, \lambda \rangle = \theta$ for every $\lambda \in M$, we have that $\langle S_n, \pi, \lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{d_t^n} (f_\lambda^n)^* \theta$. In particular, since every $f_\lambda : U_\lambda \to V$ is a polynomial-like map, for every $\lambda \in M$ we have $\langle S_n, \pi, \lambda \rangle \to \mu_\lambda$. The following Theorem ensures that the limits of the sequence S_n have slices equal to μ_λ.

Theorem 2.11 (Pham). *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. Up to a subsequence, the forms S_n defined by* (2) *converge to a positive closed (k,k)-current $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{V}$, supported on $\cup_\lambda \{\lambda\} \times K_\lambda$, such that for every $\lambda \in M$ the slice $\langle \mathcal{E}, \pi, \lambda\rangle$ exists and is equal to μ_λ.*

Definition 2.12. *An* equilibrium current *for f is a positive closed current $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{V}$, supported on $\cup_\lambda \{\lambda\} \times K_\lambda$, such that $\langle \mathcal{E}, \pi, \lambda\rangle = \mu_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in M$.*

Given an equilibrium current $\mathcal{E}$ for f, the product $\log|\mathrm{Jac}| \cdot \mathcal{E}$ (and so also the intersection $\mathcal{E} \wedge \mathsf{C}_f = dd^c(\log|\mathrm{Jac}| \cdot \mathcal{E})$) is thus well defined (by Theorems 2.10 and 2.5). Moreover, the distribution $\pi_*(\log|\mathrm{Jac}| \cdot \mathcal{E})$ is represented by the (plurisubharmonic) function $\lambda \mapsto \langle \mu_\lambda, \log|\mathrm{Jac}(\lambda, \cdot)|\rangle$. Notice that, while the product $\log|\mathrm{Jac}| \cdot \mathcal{E}$ a priori depends on the particular equilibrium current $\mathcal{E}$, the pushforward by π is independent from the particular choice (by (1)). By Oseledets and Birkhoff theorems the function $\lambda \mapsto \langle \mu_\lambda, \log|\mathrm{Jac}(\lambda, \cdot)|\rangle$ coincides with the Lyapunov function $L(\lambda)$, i.e., the sum of the Lyapunov exponents of f_λ with respect to μ_λ (see Definition 2.6). The following definition is then well posed.

Definition 2.13. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. The* bifurcation current *of f is the positive closed $(1,1)$-current on M given by*

$$T_{bif} := dd^c L(\lambda) = \pi_*(\mathsf{C}_f \wedge \mathcal{E}), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is any equilibrium current for f.

The following result gives an approximation of the current $u\mathcal{E}$ for u psh, that we shall need in Section 3.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} = M \times V$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. Let θ be a smooth positive measure compactly supported on V. Let S_n be as in* (2) *and $\mathcal{E}$ be any equilibrium current for f. Let u be a psh function on $M \times V$ and assume that there exists $\lambda_0 \in M$ such that $\langle \mu_{\lambda_0}, u(\lambda_0, \cdot)\rangle > -\infty$. Then, for every continuous form Ω of maximal degree and compactly supported on M, we have*

$$\langle uS_n, \pi^*(\Omega)\rangle \to \langle u\mathcal{E}, \pi^*(\Omega)\rangle, \tag{4}$$

where the right hand side is well defined by Theorem 2.10.

Notice that the assumption $\langle \mu_{\lambda_0}, u(\lambda_0, \cdot)\rangle > -\infty$ at some λ_0 is automatic if the family is of large topological degree, see [DS10, Theorem 2.33]. Moreover, notice that (4) holds without the need of taking the subsequence (and the right hand side is in particular independent from the subsequence used to compute $\mathcal{E}$). Finally, we do not need to restrict M to get the statement since Ω is compactly supported. This also follows from the compactness of horizontal positive closed currents with bounded slice mass, see [DS06].

Proof. We can suppose that Ω is a positive volume form, since we can decompose it in its positive and negative parts $\Omega = \Omega^+ - \Omega^-$ and prove the statement for Ω^+ and Ω^- separately. Moreover, by means of a partition of unity on M, we can also assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is horizontal. By Theorem 2.10, the product $u\mathcal{E}$ is well defined and the identity (1) holds with both $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{E}$ or S_n and $\psi = u$. So, it suffices to prove that

$$\int_M \langle S_n, \pi, \lambda\rangle(u)\Omega(\lambda) \to \int_M \langle \mathcal{E}, \pi, \lambda\rangle(u)\Omega(\lambda). \tag{5}$$

The assertion then follows since the slices of $\mathcal{E}$, and thus also the right hand side, are independent from the particular equilibrium current chosen.

Set $\phi_n(\lambda) := \langle S_n, \pi, \lambda\rangle (u(\lambda,\cdot))$ and $\phi(\lambda) := \langle \mathcal{E}, \pi, \lambda\rangle (u(\lambda,\cdot)) = \langle \mu_\lambda, u(\lambda,\cdot)\rangle$. By Theorem 2.10, the ϕ_n's and ϕ are psh functions on M. Moreover, at λ fixed, we have (recalling the definition (2) of the S_n's and the fact that $d_t^{-n} (f_\lambda^n)_* u(\lambda,\cdot) \to \langle \mu_\lambda, u(\lambda,\cdot)\rangle$ since $u(\lambda,\cdot)$ is psh, see Theorem 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(\lambda) = \langle S_n, \pi, \lambda\rangle\, u(\lambda,\cdot) &= \left\langle \frac{1}{d_t^n} (f_\lambda^n)^* (\theta), u(\lambda,\cdot)\right\rangle = \left\langle \theta, \frac{1}{d_t^n} (f_\lambda^n)_* u(\lambda,\cdot)\right\rangle \\ &\to \langle \theta, \langle \mu_\lambda, u(\lambda,\cdot)\rangle\rangle = \langle \mu_\lambda, u(\lambda,\cdot)\rangle = \phi(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Since u is upper semicontinuous (and thus locally bounded) all the ϕ_n's are bounded from above. This, together with the fact that they converge pointwise to ϕ, gives that the convergence $\phi_n \to \phi$ happens in L^1_{loc}, and the assertion is proved. □

Corollary 2.15. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} = M \times V \subset \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^k$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an equilibrium current and S_n be a sequence of smooth forms as in* (2)*. Then for every smooth $(m-1,m-1)$-form Ω compactly supported on M we have*

$$\langle \mathsf{C}_f \wedge S_n, \pi^*(\Omega)\rangle \to \langle \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \mathcal{E}, \pi^*(\Omega)\rangle .$$

3. Misiurewicz parameters belong to Supp dd^cL

In this section we prove Theorems A and B. The idea will be to relate the mass of dd^cL on a given open set Λ of the parameter space with the growth of the mass of the currents $f_*^n[C]$ on the vertical set $\mathcal{V} \cap \pi_M^{-1}(\Lambda)$. Then, we will show how the presence of a Misiurewicz parameter allows us to get the desired estimate for the growth of the critical volume, permitting to conclude. We shall need the following lemma, whose proof is a simple adaptation of the one of [DS10, Proposition 2.7].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. Let $\delta > d_p^*(f_{\lambda_0})$. There exists a constant C such that, for λ sufficiently close to λ_0, we have $\left\|(f_\lambda^n)_* (S)\right\|_{U_\lambda} \leq C\delta^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every closed positive $(k-p,k-p)$-current S of mass less or equal than to 1 on U_λ.*

The following Theorem (which proves Theorem B) gives the relation between the mass of dd^cL and the growth of the mass of $(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f$. We recall that $\mathsf{C}_f = \log|\mathrm{Jac}_f|$ is the integration on the critical set of f, counting the multiplicity. We set $\mathcal{U}_\Lambda := \mathcal{U} \cap \left(\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^k\right)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\Lambda := \mathcal{V} \cap \left(\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^k\right)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. Set $d_{k-1}^* := \sup_{\lambda\in M} d_{k-1}^*(f_\lambda)$, and assume that d_{k-1}^* is finite. Let δ be greater than d_{k-1}^*. Then for any open subset $\Lambda \Subset M$ there exist positive constants c_1', c_1 and c_2 such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$c_1' \left\|dd^cL\right\|_\Lambda d_t^n \leq \left\|(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f\right\|_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} \leq c_1 \left\|dd^cL\right\|_\Lambda d_t^n + c_2\delta^n.$$

In particular, if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f\right\|_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} > \log d_{k-1}^*,$$

then Λ intersects the bifurcation locus.

Notice that $(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f$ actually denotes the current on $\mathcal{U}_\Lambda$ which is the pushforward by the proper map $f^n : f^{-n}(\mathcal{U}_\Lambda) \to \mathcal{U}_\Lambda$ of the current C_f on $f^{-n}(\mathcal{U}_\Lambda)$.

Proof. The problem is local. We can thus assume that $\mathcal{V} = M \times V$, where V is convex. Moreover, there exists some open convex set $\tilde{U}$ such that $U_\lambda \Subset \tilde{U} \Subset V$ for every $\lambda \in M$.

Let us denote by ω_V and ω_M the standard Kähler forms on $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$. By abuse of notation, we denote by $\omega_V + \omega_M$ the Kähler form $\pi_V^* \omega_V + \pi_M^* \omega_M$ on the product space $M \times V$. Since both ω_V^{k+1} and ω_M^{m+1} are zero, by the definition of mass we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f\|_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge (\omega_V + \omega_M)^{k+m-1} \\ &= \binom{k+m-1}{k} \int_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \omega_V^k \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} + \binom{k+m-1}{k-1} \int_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \omega_V^{k-1} \wedge \omega_M^m.\end{aligned}$$

We shall bound the two integrals by means of $\|dd^c L\|_\Lambda \, d_t^n$ and δ^n, respectively. Let us start with the first one. Let ρ be a positive smooth function, compactly supported on V, equal to a constant c_ρ on $\tilde{U}$ and such that the integral of ρ is equal to 1. Notice in particular that ρ/c_ρ is equal to 1 on $\tilde{U}$ and has total mass $1/c_\rho$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \omega_V^k \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \leq \frac{1}{c_\rho} \int_{\mathcal{V}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \left(\pi_V^* \rho \cdot \omega_V^k\right) \wedge \omega_M^{m-1}.$$

Consider the smooth (k,k)-forms $S_n := \frac{(f^n)^*}{d_t^n} \left(\pi_V^* \rho \cdot \omega_V^k\right)$. By Theorem 2.11, every subsequence of $(S_n)_n$ has a further subsequence S_{n_i} converging to an equilibrium current $\mathcal{E}_{(n_i)}$. By Definition 2.13, we have $dd^c L = \pi_*(\mathsf{C}_f \wedge \mathcal{E}_{(n_i)})$. Since $f^* \omega_M = \omega_M$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} d_t^{-n_i} \int_{\mathcal{V}_\Lambda} (f^{n_i})_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \left(\pi_V^* \rho \cdot \omega_V^k\right) \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} &= \int_{f^{-n_i}(\mathcal{V}_\Lambda)} \mathsf{C}_f \wedge S_{n_i} \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \\ &\to \int_{\mathcal{V}_\Lambda} \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \mathcal{E}_{(n_i)} \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} = \|dd^c L\|_\Lambda \,, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence follows from Corollary 2.15 (by means of a partition of unity on Λ). Since the limit is independent from the subsequence, the convergence above happens without the need of extraction (see also Lemma 2.14). In particular we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_\Lambda} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \left(\pi_V^* \rho \cdot \omega_V^k\right) \wedge \omega_M^{m-1} \leq \tilde{c}_1 \, \|dd^c L\|_\Lambda \, d_t^n$$

for some positive constant $\tilde{c}_1$ and the desired bound from above follows. The bound from below is completely analogous, by means of a function ρ equal to 1 on a neighbourhood of $\cup_\lambda \{\lambda\} \times K_\lambda$.

Let us then estimate the second integral. We claim that

$$\int_{\Lambda \times \tilde{U}} (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \omega_V^{k-1} \wedge \omega_M^m = \int_\Lambda \|(f_\lambda^n)_* \mathsf{C}_{f_\lambda}\|_{\tilde{U}} \, \omega_M^m. \tag{6}$$

where C_{f_λ} is the integration current (with multiplicity) on the critical set of f_λ. The assertion then follows since, by Lemma 3.1, the right hand side in (6) is bounded by $\tilde{c}_2 \delta^n$, for some positive $\tilde{c}_2$.

Let us thus prove (6). By [Siu74, p. 124] and [Fed96, Theorem 4.3.2(7)], the slice $\langle (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f, \pi, \lambda \rangle$ of $(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f$ exists for almost every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and is given by

$$\langle (f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f, \pi, \lambda \rangle = (f_\lambda^n)_* \langle \mathsf{C}_f, \pi, \lambda \rangle = (f_\lambda^n)_* \mathsf{C}_{f_\lambda}.$$

Since ω_V^{k-1} is smooth, this implies that the slice of $(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f \wedge \omega_V^{k-1}$ exists for almost every λ and is equal to the measure $\left((f_\lambda^n)_* \mathsf{C}_{f_\lambda}\right) \wedge \omega_V^{k-1}$. The claim then follows from [Fed96, Theorem 4.3.2] by integrating a partition of unity. □

Now we aim to bound from below a subsequence of $\left(\|(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f\|_{\mathcal{U}_\Lambda}\right)_n$ in presence of a Misiurewicz parameter. The main tool to achieve this goal is given by the next proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{D} \times V$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree d_t. Fix a ball $B \Subset V$ such that $B \cap J(f_0) \neq \emptyset$ and let δ be such that $0 < \delta < d_t$. There exists a ball $A_0 \subset B$, a $N > 0$ and a $\eta > 0$ such that f^N admits at least δ^N inverse branches defined on the cylinder $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0$, with image contained in $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0$.*

In the proof of the above proposition we shall first need to construct a ball $A \subset B$ with the required number of inverse branches for f_0. This is done by means of the following general lemma. Fix any polynomial-like map $g : U \to V$ of large topological degree. Given any $A \subset V$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho > 0$, denote by $C_n(A, \rho)$ the set

$$C_n(A, \rho) := \left\{ h \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} h \text{ is an inverse branch of } g^n \text{ defined on } \bar{A} \\ \text{and such that } h(\bar{A}) \subset A \text{ and } \operatorname{Lip} h_{|\bar{A}} \leq \rho \end{array} \right\}. \tag{7}$$

The following result, which is just a local version of [BBD15, Proposition 3.8], is essentially due to Briend-Duval (see [BD99]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let g be a polynomial-like map of large topological degree d_t. Let B be a ball intersecting J and ρ a positive number. There exists a ball A contained in B and a $\alpha > 0$ such that $\#C_n(A, \rho) \geq \alpha d_t^n$, for every n sufficently large.*

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $A \subset B$ be a ball given by an application of Lemma 3.4 to the map f_0, with $\rho = 1/4$. There thus exists a α such that, for every sufficiently large n, the set $C_n(A, 1/4)$ defined as in (7) has at least αd_t^n elements. Fix N sufficiently large such that $\delta^N < \alpha d_t^N$. Denote by h_i the elements of $C_N(A, 1/4)$ and by A_i the images $A_i := h_i(A) \subset A$. By definition of inverse branches, the A_i's are all disjoint and f_0^N induces a biholomorphism from every A_i to A.

Take as A_0 any open ball relatively compact in A and such that $\cup_i \bar{A}_i \Subset A_0$. Such an A_0 exists since $\cup_i \bar{A}_i \Subset A$. In particular, on A_0 the h_i's are well defined, with images (compactly) contained in the A_i's. To conclude, it suffices to find a η such that these inverse branches for f_0^N extend to inverse branches for f^N on $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0$, with images contained in $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0$.

Define the sets A_i^ε by

$$A_i^\varepsilon := \{\, z \in A_i \colon d(z, A_i^c) > \varepsilon \,\}.$$

Since the A_i's are finite and $f_0^N(A_i) = A$, there exists a ε_0 such that, for every i, $A_0 \Subset f_0^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$. This implies, since f is continuous and every f_λ is an open map, that $A_0 \Subset f_\lambda^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$ for every λ sufficiently small. Indeed, notice that $f_\lambda^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$ is open and meets A_0 (for small λ). We need then to show that $\partial f_\lambda^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0}) \cap A_0 = \emptyset$. Since f_λ^N is open, we have $\partial f_\lambda^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0}) \subset f_\lambda^N(\partial A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$, and the right term is close to $f_0^N(\partial A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$ for small λ, by continuity. But $f_0^N(\partial A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$ is equal to $\partial f_0^N(A_i^{\varepsilon_0})$ since f_0^N is a biholomorphism on A_i, and thus does not meet A_0.

We can thus consider, for $\mathbb{D}_\eta$ sufficiently small, the open sets $\tilde{A}_i := \left(\left(f^N\right)_{|\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_i^{\varepsilon_0}}\right)^{-1} (\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0) \Subset \mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_i^{\varepsilon_0}$. By the argument above, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_\eta$ the function f_λ^N is a proper holomorphic map from $\tilde{A}_{\lambda,i} := \left(\left(f_\lambda^N\right)_{|A_i^{\varepsilon_0}}\right)^{-1} (A_0)$ to A_0. In order to conclude, we only need to check that, for λ in a neighbourhood of 0, the degree of $f_\lambda^N : \tilde{A}_{\lambda,i} \to A_0$ is equal to 1. Since $\tilde{A}_{\lambda,i} \Subset A_i^{\varepsilon_0}$, it is enough to find η such that the critical set of f^N does not intersect $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_i^{\varepsilon_0}$, for every i. The existence of such η follows from the Lipshitz estimate of the inverses h_i. Indeed, the fact that $\operatorname{Lip} h_i < 1/4$ on A implies that $\left\| \left(df_0^N\right)^{-1} \right\|^{-1} \geq 4$ on $\cup_i A_i$. It follows that $\left\| \left(df_\lambda^N\right)^{-1} \right\|^{-1} \geq 3$ on a

neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times \cup_i A_i^{\varepsilon_0}$ of the form $\mathbb{D}_\eta \times \cup_i A_i^{\varepsilon_0}$. In particular, the critical set of f^N cannot intersect this neighbourhood, and the assertion follows. □

We can now prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. We shall prove that the existence of a Misiurewicz parameter implies that the mass of $(f^n)_* \mathsf{C}_f$ is asymptotically larger than $\tilde{d}^n$ (up to considering a subsequence), for some $\tilde{d} > d_{k-1}^*$. The conclusion will then follow from Theorem 3.2.

Before starting proving the assertion, we make a few simplifications to the problem. Let $\sigma(\lambda)$ denote the repelling periodic point intersecting (but not being contained in) some component of $f^{n_0}(C)$ at $\lambda = 0$ and such that $\sigma(0) \in J_0$.

- We can suppose that $M = \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_1$ and that $\lambda_0 = 0$. Doing this, we actually prove a stronger statement, i.e., that $dd^c L \neq 0$ on every complex disc passing through λ_0 such that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is not contained in $f_\lambda^{n_0}(C)$ for every λ is the selected disc. Moreover, we shall assume that $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{D} \times V$.
- Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma(\lambda)$ stays repelling for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Up to considering an iterate of f, we can suppose that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is a repelling fixed point. Indeed, we can replace n_0 with $n_0 + r$, for some $0 \leq r < n(\sigma)$, where $n(\sigma)$ is the period of σ, to ensure that now the new n_0 is a multiple of $n(\sigma)$.
- Using a local biholomorphism (change of coordinates), we can suppose that $\sigma(\lambda)$ is a constant in V, and we can assume that this constant is 0.
- After possibly further rescaling, we can assume that $f^{n_0}(C)$ intersects $\{z = 0\}$ only at $\lambda = 0$.
- We denote by B a small ball in V centered at 0. By taking this ball sufficiently small (and up to rescaling the parameter space), we can assume that there exists some $b > 1$ such that, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D} = M$ and for every $z, z' \in B$, we have $\operatorname{dist}(f_\lambda(z), f_\lambda(z')) \geq b \cdot \operatorname{dist}(z, z')$.

Fix a δ such that $d_{k-1}^* < \delta < d_t$. Proposition 3.3 gives the existence of a ball $A_0 \subset B$ and a η such that the cylinder $T_0 := \mathbb{D}_\eta \times A_0$ admits at least δ^N inverse branches h_i for f^N, with images contained in T_0. We explicitely notice that the images of T_0 under these inverse branches must be disjoint. Up to rescaling we can still assume that $\eta = 1$.

The cylinder T_0 is naturally foliated by the "horizontal" holomorphic graphs Γ_{ξ_z}'s, where $\xi_z(\lambda) \equiv z$, for $z \in A_0$. By construction, T_0 has at least δ^{Nn} inverse branches for f^{Nn}, with images contained in T_0. We denote these preimages by $T_{n,i}$, and we explicitely notice that every $T_{n,i}$ is biholomorphic to T_0, by the map f^{Nn}. In particular, f^{Nn} induces a foliation on every $T_{n,i}$, given by the preimages of the Γ_{ξ_z}'s by f^{Nn}.

The following elementary lemma (see [Bia16] for a complete proof) shows that there exists some n_0' such that some component $\tilde{C}$ of $f^{n_0+n_0'}(C)$ intersects the graph of every holomorphic map $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to B$, and in particular every element of the induced foliation on $T_{n,i}$. This is a consequence of the expansivity of f on $\mathbb{D} \times B$ and the fact that $f^{n_0}(C) \cap \{z = 0\} = (0, 0)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the set of holomorphic maps $\gamma : \mathbb{D} \to B$. There exists an n_0' such that (at least) one irreducible component $\tilde{C}$ of $f^{n_0+n_0'}(C)$ passing through $(0, 0)$ intersects the graph of every element of $\mathcal{G}$.*

Let n_0' and $\tilde{C}$ be given by Lemma 3.5. In particular, $\tilde{C}$ intersects every element of the induced foliations on the $T_{n,i}$'s. Let $B_{n,i}$ denote the intersection $T_{n,i} \cap \tilde{C}$ and set $D_{n,i} := f^{Nn}(B_{n,i}) \subset T_0$. The $D_{n,i}$'s are non-empty analytic subsets of T_0 (since $f^{Nn} : T_{n,i} \to T_0$ is a biholomorphism).

Moreover, the graphs of the ξ_z's intersect every $D_{n,i}$, since their preimages in $T_{n,i}$ intersect every $B_{n,i}$. In particular, the projection of every $D_{n,i}$ on V is equal to A_0.

Let us finally estimate the mass of $\left(f^{n_0+n_0'+Nn}\right)_* [C]$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}$. First of all, notice that $\left(f^{n_0+n_0'}\right)_* \mathsf{C}_f \geq f_*^{n_0+n_0'}[C] \geq [f^{n_0+n_0'}(C)] \geq [\tilde{C}]$ as positive currents on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}$. This implies that

$$\left\| f_*^{Nn+n_0+n_0'} \mathsf{C}_f \right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}} \geq \left\| f_*^{Nn} \left[f^{n_0+n_0'}(C) \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}} \geq \left\| f_*^{Nn} \left[\tilde{C} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}} \geq \left\| f_*^{Nn} \left[\tilde{C} \right] \right\|_{T_0}.$$

Now, since f^{Nn} gives a biholomorphism from every $T_{n,i}$ to T_0 and all the $T_{n,i}$'s are disjoint, we have

$$\left\| f_*^{Nn} \left[\tilde{C} \right] \right\|_{T_0} \geq \left\| f_*^{Nn} \left(\sum_i [B_{n,i}] \right) \right\|_{T_0} = \sum_i \left\| f_*^{Nn} [B_{n,i}] \right\| = \sum_i \left\| [D_{n,i}] \right\|.$$

By Wirtinger formula, for every n and i the volume of $D_{n,i}$ is larger than the volume of its projection A_0 on V. Since by construction the last sum has at least δ^{Nn} terms, we have

$$\left\| f_*^{n_0+n_0'+Nn} \mathsf{C}_f \right\|_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}} \geq \text{volume}(A_0) \cdot \delta^{Nn} > \text{volume}(A_0) \cdot \left(d_{k-1}^* \right)^{Nn}$$

and the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2. □

4. Dynamical stability of polynomial-like maps

4.1. **Equilibrium webs.** We introduce here a notion of *holomorphic motion* for the equilibrium measures of a family of polynomial-like maps. Consider the space of holomorphic maps

$$\mathcal{O}\left(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V}\right) := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}\left(M, \mathbb{C}^k\right) : \forall \lambda \in M, \gamma(\lambda) \in V_\lambda \right\}$$

endowed with the topology of local uniform convergence and its subset

$$\mathcal{O}\left(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U}\right) := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}\left(M, \mathbb{C}^k\right) : \forall \lambda \in M, \gamma(\lambda) \in U_\lambda \right\}.$$

By Montel Theorem, $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V})$. The map f induces an action $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ to $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V})$ given by $(\mathcal{F} \cdot \gamma)(\lambda) = f_\lambda(\gamma(\lambda))$. We now restrict ouselves to the subset $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ given by

$$\mathcal{J} := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U}) \colon \gamma(\lambda) \in J_\lambda \text{ for every } \lambda \in M\}.$$

This is an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant compact metric space with respect to the topology of local uniform convergence. Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ induces a well-defined dynamical system on it.

Nothing prevents the set $\mathcal{J}$ to be actually empty, but we have the following lemma (see [Bia16]) which is a consequence of the lower semicontinuity of the Julia set (see [DS10]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree and $\rho \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ such that $\rho(\lambda)$ is n-periodic for every $\lambda \in M$. Then, the set*

$$J_\rho := \{ \lambda \in M \colon \rho(\lambda) \text{ is } n\text{-periodic, repelling and belongs to } J_\lambda \}$$

is open.

Notice that a repelling cycle $\rho(\lambda)$ can leave the Julia set (i.e., the set J_ρ is not necessarily closed). An example of this phenomenon is given in [Bia16].

We denote by $p_\lambda : \mathcal{J} \to \mathbb{P}^k$ the evaluation map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(\lambda)$. The map $\mathcal{F} \colon \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V})$ is proper. This follows from Montel Theorem since, for any λ, p_λ is continuous and $f_\lambda : U_\lambda \to V_\lambda$ is proper. This means in particular that $\mathcal{F}$ induces a well defined notion of pushforward from the measures on $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ to those on $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V})$.

Definition 4.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps. An* equilibrium web *is a probability measure $\mathcal{M}$ on $\mathcal{J}$ such that:*

(1) $\mathcal{F}_*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$, and
(2) $(p_\lambda)_* \mathcal{M} = \mu_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in M$, .

The equilibrium measures μ_λ move holomorphically *(over M) if f admits an equilibrium web.*

Given an equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$, we can see the triple $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$ as an invariant dynamical system. Moreover, we can associate to $\mathcal{M}$ the (k,k)-current on $\mathcal{U}$ given by $W_\mathcal{M} := \int [\Gamma_\gamma] d\mathcal{M}(\gamma)$, where $[\Gamma_\gamma]$ denotes the integration current on the graph $\Gamma_\gamma \subset \mathcal{U}$ of the map $\gamma \in \mathcal{J}$. It is not difficult to check that $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{M}$ is an equilibrium current for the family.

The next lemma gives some elementary properties of the support of any equilibrium web. The proof is the same as in the case of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$ (see [BBD15, Lemma 2.5]) and is thus omitted.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f \colon \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that f admits an equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$. Then*

(1) for every $(\lambda_0, z_0) \in M \times J_{\lambda_0}$ there exists an element $\gamma \in \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{M}$ such that $z_0 = \gamma(\lambda)$, and
(2) for every $(\lambda_0, z_0) \in M \times J_{\lambda_0}$ such that z_0 is n-periodic and repelling for f_{λ_0} there exists a unique $\gamma \in \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{M}$ such that $z_0 = \gamma(\lambda_0)$ and $\gamma(\lambda)$ is n-periodic for f_λ for every $\lambda \in M$. Moreover, $\gamma(\lambda_0) \neq \gamma'(\lambda_0)$ for every $\gamma' \in \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{M}$ different from γ.

The following theorem allows us to construct equilibrium webs starting from particular elements in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$. The proof is analogous to the one on $\mathbb{P}^k$ (see [BBD15]) and is based on Theorem 2.8. We refer to [Bia16] for the details in this setting. We just notice that the assumption on the parameter space to be simply connected in the second point is needed to ensure the existence of the preimages.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$.*

(1) Assume that the repelling J-cycles of f asymptotically move holomorphically over the parameter space M and let $(\rho_{n,j})_{1 \leq j \leq N_n}$ be the elements of $\mathcal{J}$ given by the motions of these cycles. Then, the equilibrium measures move holomorphically and any limit of $\left(\frac{1}{d_t^n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \delta_{\rho_{n,j}} \right)_n$ is a equilibrium web.
(2) Assume that the parameter space M is simply connected and that there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U})$ such that the graph Γ_γ does not intersect the post-critical set of f. Then, the equilibrium measures move holomorphically and any limit of $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d_t^l} \sum_{\mathcal{F}^l \sigma = \gamma} \delta_\sigma \right)_n$ is an equilibrium web.

4.2. **A preliminary characterization of stability.** The following Theorem shows the equivalence of the conditions A.3 and A.4 in Theorem C.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

I.1 for every $\lambda_0 \in M$ there exists a neighbourhood $M_0 \Subset M$ where the measures μ_λ move holomorphically and f admits a equilibrium web $\mathcal{M} = \lim_n \mathcal{M}_n$ such that the graph of any $\gamma \in \cup_n \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{M}_n$ avoids the critical set of f;
I.2 the function L is pluriharmonic on M;

I.3 there are no Misiurewicz parameters in M;
I.4 for every $\lambda_0 \in M$ there exists a neighbourhood $M_0 \Subset M$ and a holomorphic map $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}\left(M_0, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{U}\right)$ such that the graph of γ does not intersect the postcritical set of f.

Theorem 4.4 readily proves that I.4 imples I.1, while Theorem A gives the implication I.2 $\Rightarrow$ I.3. The strategy for the two implications I.1 $\Rightarrow$ I.2 and I.3 $\Rightarrow$ I.4 follows the same lines of the one on $\mathbb{P}^k$. In particular, for the first one the only small difference is how to get an estimate (Hölder in ε) for the μ-measure of a ε-neighbourhood of an analytic set. In the case of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$, this follows from the Hölder continuity of the potential of the Green current. Here we can exploit the fact that, for every psh function u, the function $e^{|u|}$ is integrable with respect to the equilibrium measure of a polynomial-like map of large topological degree ([DS10, Theorem 2.34]). We refer to [Bia16] for a complete proof.

For what concerns the last missing implication the proof can be reduced, (as in the case of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$), by means of Hurwitz Theorem, to the proof of the existence (Theorem 4.6) of a hyperbolic set satisfying certain properties (see [Bia16]).

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree d_t. Then there exists an integer N, a compact hyperbolic set $E_0 \subset J_0$ for f_0^N and a continuous holomorphic motion $h : B_r \times E_0 \to \mathbb{C}^k$ (defined on some small ball B_r of radius r and centered at 0) such that:*

(1) the repelling periodic points of f_0^N are dense in E_0 and E_0 is not contained in the postcritical set of f_0^N;
(2) $h_\lambda(E_0) \in J_\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in B_r$;
(3) if z is periodic repelling for f_0^N then $h_\lambda(z)$ is periodic and repelling for f_λ^N.

To prove Theorem 4.6 on $\mathbb{P}^k$, one needs to ensure that a hyperbolic set of sufficiently large entropy cannot be contained in the postcritical set and must, on the other hand, be contained in the Julia set. In our setting, the analogue of the first propery is given by Lemma 4.8 below, which is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.7, combined with a relative version of the Variational principle.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $f : U \to V$ be a polynomial like map of topological degree d_t. Let K be the filled Julia set, X an analytic subset of V of dimension p, and δ_n be such that $\|f_*^n[X]\|_U \leq \delta_n$. Then*

$$h_t(f, \bar{U} \cap X) = h_t(f, K \cap X) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \delta_n \leq d_p^*.$$

This Lemma is proved by following the strategy used by Gromov [Gro03] to estimate the topological entropy of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$, and adapted by Dinh and Sibony [DS03, DS10] to the polynomial-like setting. Since only minor modifications are needed, we refer to [Bia16] for a complete proof.

Lemma 4.8. *Let g be a polynomial-like map of large topological degree. Let ν be an ergodic invariant probability measure for g whose metric entropy h_ν satisfies $h_\nu > \log d_p^*$. Then, ν gives no mass to analytic subsets of dimension $\leq p$.*

The second problem (i.e., ensuring that the hyperbolic set stays inside the Julia set) will be adressed by means of the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.9 (see also [Duj16], Lemma 2.3)**.** *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps with parameter space M. Let E_0 be a hyperbolic set for f_0 contained in J_0, such that repelling periodic points are dense in E_0 and $\left\|(df_\lambda)^{-1}\right\|^{-1} > K > 3$ on a neigbourhood of $(E_0)_\tau$ in*

the product space. Let h be a continuous holomorphic motion of E_{λ_0} as a hyperbolic set on some ball $B \subset M$, preserving the repelling cycles. Then $h_\lambda(E_0)$ is contained in J_λ, for λ sufficiently close to λ_0.

Proof. We denote by γ_z the motion of a point $z \in E_0$ as part of the given holomorphic motion of the hyperbolic set.

First of all, notice that repelling points must be dense in E_λ for every λ, by the continuity of the motion and the fact that they are preserved by it. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, every repelling cycle stays in J_λ for λ in a neighbourhood of 0. It is thus enough to ensure that this neighbourhood can be taken uniform for all the cycles.

Since $\left\|df_\lambda^{-1}\right\|^{-1} > 3$ on a neighbourhood $(E_0)_\tau$ of E_0 in the product space, we can restrict ourselves to $\lambda \in B(0,\tau)$ and so assume that $\left\|df_\lambda^{-1}\right\|^{-1} > 3$ on a τ neighbourhood of every $z \in E_\lambda$, for every λ. Moreover, since the set of motions γ_z of points in E_0 is compact (by continuity), we can assume that $\gamma_z(\lambda) \in B(z, \tau/10)$ for every $z \in E_0$ and λ. Finally, by the lower semicontinuity of the Julia set ([DS10]), up to shrinking again the parameter space we can assume that $J_0 \subset (J_\lambda)_{\tau/10}$ for every λ. These two assumptions imply that, for every λ and every $z \in E_\lambda$, there exists at least a point of J_λ in the ball $B(z, \tau/2)$. Consider now any n-periodic repelling point p_0 in E_λ for f_λ, and let $\{ p_i \} = \{ f_\lambda^i(p_0) \}$ be its cycle (and thus with $p_0 = p_n$). Fix a point $z_0 \in J_\lambda \cap B(p_0, \tau)$. By hyperbolicity (and since without loss of generality we can assume that $\tau \leq \left(1 + \sup_{B_\tau} \|f_\lambda\|_{C^2}\right)^{-1}$), every ball $B(p_i, \tau)$ has an inverse branch for f_λ defined on it, with image strictly contained in the ball $B(p_{i-1}, \tau)$ and strictly contracting. This implies that there exists an inverse branch g_0 for f_λ^n of $B(p_0, \tau)$, strictly contracting and with image strictly contained in $B(p_0, \tau)$ (and containing p_0). So, a sequence of inverse images of z_0 for f_λ must converge to p_0, and so $p_0 \in J_\lambda$. The assertion is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 4.6. First of all, we need the hyperbolic set E_0. By Lemma 3.4, we can take a closed ball A, a constant $\rho > 0$ and a sufficiently large N such that the cardinality N' of $C_N(A, \rho)$ (see (7)) satisfies $N' \geq \left(d_{k-1}^*\right)^N$ (since by assumption $d_{k-1}^* < d_t$). We then consider the set E_0 given by the intersection $E_0 = \cap_{k \geq 0} E_k$, where E_k is given by

$$E_k := \{ g_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{i_k}(A) \colon (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \{ 1, \dots, N' \}^k \}$$

where the g_i's are the elements of $C_N(A, \rho)$. The set E_0 is then hyperbolic, and contained in J_0 (since $A \cap J \neq \emptyset$, every point in E_0 is accumulated by points in the Julia set). Moreover, repelling cycles (for f_0^N) are dense in E_0.

Let $\Sigma : \{ 1, \dots, N' \}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ and fix a point $z \in E_0$. Notice that the map $\omega : \Sigma \to E_0$ given by $\omega(i_1, i_2, \dots) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{i_k}(z)$ satisfies the relation $f^N \circ \omega = \omega \circ s$, where s denotes the left shift $(i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots) \overset{s}{\mapsto} (i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}, \dots)$. We can thus pushforward with ω the uniform product measure on Σ. Since this is a s-invariant ergodic measure, its pushforward ν is an f^N-invariant ergodic measure on $E_0 \subset J_0$. Te metric entropy of ν thus satisfies $h_\nu \geq \log N' \geq \log \left(d_{k-1}^*\right)^N$, and this implies (by Lemma 4.8) that ν gives no mass to analytic subsets. In particular, E_0 is not contained in the postcritical set of f_0.

We need to prove the points 2 and 3. It is a classical result (see [BBD15, Appendix A.1]) that E_0 admits a continuous holomorphic motion that preserves the repelling cycles, and thus 3 follows. The second point then follows from Lemma 4.9 (and the density of the repelling cycles in E_0). □

Once we have established the existence of a hyperbolic set as in Theorem 4.6, the proof of the implication I.3 ⇒ I.4 is the same as on $\mathbb{P}^k$ (see [BBD15, Bia16]).

4.3. **Holomorphic motions.** The following Theorem 4.11 gives the equivalence between the conditions A.1 and A.2 in Theorem C. We need the following definition.

Definition 4.10. *An equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$ is* acritical *if $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{J}_s) = 0$, where*

$$\mathcal{J}_s := \{\, \gamma \in \mathcal{J} \colon \Gamma_\gamma \cap \left(\bigcup_{m,n\geq 0} f^{-m}\left(f^n\left(C_f\right)\right)\right) \neq \emptyset \,\}.$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that the parameter space is simply connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

II.1 asymptotically all J-cycles move holomorphically;
II.2 there exists an acritical equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$;
II.3 there exists an equilibrium lamination for f.

Moreover, if the previous conditions hold, the system admits a unique equilibrium web, which is ergodic and mixing.

As we mentioned in the introduction, we shall only show how to recover the asymptotic holomorphic motion of the repelling cycles from the two conditions II.3 and II.2. Indeed, the construction of an equilibrium lamination starting from an acritical web is literally the same as in the case of $\mathbb{P}^k$. The crucial point in that proof is establishing the following backward contraction property (Proposition 4.12) (which is actually used in both the implications II.2⇒II.3⇒II.1). We set $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{J}_s$ (notice that this is a full measure subset for an acritical web) and let $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ be the *natural extension* (see [CFS82]) of the system $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$, i.e., the set of the histories of elements of $\mathcal{X}$

$$\widehat{\gamma} := (\cdots, \gamma_{-j}, \gamma_{-j+1}, \cdots, \gamma_{-1}, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots),$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\gamma_{-j}) = \gamma_{-j+1}$. The map $\mathcal{F}$ lifts to a bijection $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\widehat{\gamma}) := (\cdots \mathcal{F}(\gamma_{-j}), \mathcal{F}(\gamma_{-j+1}) \cdots)$$

and thus correspond to the shift operator. $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is the only measure on $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $(\pi_j)_\star \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}\right) = \mathcal{M}$ for any projection $\pi_j : \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \to \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ given by $\pi_j(\widehat{\gamma}) = \gamma_j$. When $\mathcal{M}$ is ergodic (or mixing), the same is true for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{X}$, denote by f_γ the injective map which is induced by f on some neighbourhood of the graph Γ_γ and by f_γ^{-1} the inverse branch of f_γ, which is defined on some neighbourhood of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}(\gamma)}$. Given $\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we thus define the iterated inverse branch $f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n}$ of f along $\widehat{\gamma}$ and of depth n by

$$f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n} := f_{\gamma_{-n}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\gamma_{-2}}^{-1} \circ f_{\gamma_{-1}}^{-1}.$$

Given $\eta > 0$, we shall denote by $T_{M_0}(\gamma_0, \eta)$ the tubular neighbourhood

$$T_{M_0}(\gamma_0, \eta) := \{(\lambda, z) \in M_0 \times \mathbb{C}^k \,:\, d(z, \gamma_0(\lambda)) < \eta\}.$$

Proposition 4.12. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps ovr M of large topological degree $d \geq 2$ which admits an acritical and ergodic equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$. Then (up to an iterate) there exist a Borel subset $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}) = 1$, a measurable function $\widehat{\eta} : \widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \to]0, 1]$ and a constant $A > 0$ which satisfy the following properties.*

For every $\widehat{\gamma} \in \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the iterated inverse branch $f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n}$ is defined on the tubular neighbourhood $T_{U_0}(\gamma_0, \widehat{\eta}_p(\widehat{\gamma}))$ of $\Gamma_{\gamma_0} \cap (U_0 \times \mathbb{C}^k)$ and

$$f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n}\left(T_{U_0}(\gamma_0, \widehat{\eta}_p(\widehat{\gamma}))\right) \subset T_{U_0}(\gamma_{-n}, e^{-nA}).$$

Moreover, the map $f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n}$ is Lipschitz with $\operatorname{Lip}\ f_{\widehat{\gamma}}^{-n} \leq \widehat{l}_p(\widehat{\gamma})e^{-nA}$ *where $\widehat{l}_p(\widehat{\gamma}) \geq 1$.*

Notice that this is essentially a local statement on the parameter space. The assumption on the family to be of large topological degree is crucial here to ensure that all Lyapunov exponents are positive. Once Proposition 4.12 is established, the implication II.2⇒II.3 follows by an application of Poincaré recurrence theorem. Moreover, the uniqueness of the equilibrium web and its mixing behaviour also easily follow.

The fact that either the asymptotic motion of the repelling cycles or the existence of an equilibrium lamination imply the existence of an ergodic acritical web is again proved in same exact way than on $\mathbb{P}^k$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that one of the following holds:*

1. *asymptotically all repelling J-cycles move holomorphically, or*
2. *there exists a holomorphic map $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{V})$ such that Γ_γ does not intersect the postcritical set of f.*

Then f admits an ergodic acritical equilibrium web.

The important points in the proof are the following: the equilibrium measure cannot charge the postcritical set (Theorem 2.5) and we can build an equilibrium web (by means of Theorem 4.4) satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4.5(I.1) (which implies that the family has no Misiurewicz parameters).

We are thus left to prove that the two (equivalent) conditions II.3 and II.2 imply the asymptotic motion of the repelling points. We stress that, in order to do this, we do not need to make any further assumption on the family we are considering. We start noticing that just the existence of any equilibrium web implies the existence of a set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{J}$ satisfying all the properties required by Definition 1.3 but the last one. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.14. *Let f be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topologicald degree d_t. Assume that there exists an equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$ for f. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{P} = \cup_n \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that*

1. *$\operatorname{Card} \mathcal{P}_n = d_t^n + o(d_t^n)$;*
2. *every element in $\mathcal{P}_n$ is n-periodic;*
3. *we have $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n} \delta_\gamma \to \mathcal{M}'$, where $\mathcal{M}'$ is a (possibly different) equilibrium web.*

Notice that, if the equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$ in the statement is acritical, by the uniqueness recalled above we have $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}'$.

Proof. Let us fix λ_0 in the parameter space. Since f_{λ_0} has large topological degree, Theorem 2.8 gives $d_t^n + o(d_t^n)$ repelling periodic points for f_{λ_0} contained in the Julia set J_{λ_0}. By Lemma 4.3(2), for every such point p of period n there exists an element $\gamma_p \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\gamma_p(\lambda_0) = p$ and $\mathcal{F}^n(\gamma_p) = \gamma_p$. This gives the first two assertions of the statement. The last one follows by Theorem 4.4. □

In order to recover the asymptotic motion of the repelling cycles as in Definition 1.3, we thus just need to prove that, on any $M' \Subset M$, *asymptotically all* $\gamma_p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ given by Lemma 4.14 are repelling. This will be done by means of the following general lemma, which allows us to recover the existence of repelling points for a dynamical system from the information about backward contraction of balls along negative orbits. This can be seen as a generalization of a classical strategy [BD99] (see also [Ber10]). We keep the notations introduced before Proposition 4.12 regarding the natural extension of a dynamical system and the inverse branches along negative orbits.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ be a continuous map from a compact metric space $\mathcal{K}$ to itself. Assume that, for every n, the number of periodic repelling points of period dividing n is less than $d^n + o(d^n)$ for some integer $d \geq 2$. Let ν be a probability measure on $\mathcal{K}$ which is invariant, mixing and of constant Jacobian d for $\mathcal{F}$.*

Suppose that there exists an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $\nu(\mathcal{L}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ is a covering of degree d. Assume moreover that the natural extension $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nu}\right)$ of the induced system $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ satisfies the following properties.

- *(P1) For every $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ the inverse branch $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}$ is defined and Lipschitz on the open ball $B(x_0, \eta(\widehat{x}))$ with $\operatorname{Lip}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}\right) \leq l(\widehat{x}) e^{-nL}$, for some positive measurable functions η and l and some positive constant L.*
- *(P2) $\forall x_0 \in \mathcal{L}, \forall N, \forall$ closed $C \subset B(x_0, \frac{1}{N})$: the preimages $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C)$ with $\eta(\widehat{x}) > \frac{1}{N}$ are disjoint for n large enough.*

Then,

$$\frac{1}{d^n} \sum_{p \in R_n} \delta_p \to \nu$$

where R_n is the set of all repelling periodic points of period (dividing) n.

By *repelling periodic point* here we mean the following: a point x_0 such that, for some n, $\mathcal{F}^n(x_0) = x_0$ and there exists a local inverse branch $\mathcal{H}$ for $\mathcal{F}^n$ sending x_0 to x_0 and such that $\operatorname{Lip} \mathcal{H}_{x_0} < 1$.

Proof. We let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be any limit value of the sequence $\sigma_n := \frac{1}{d^n} \sum_{p \in R_n} \delta_p$. Remark that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{K}) \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n(\mathcal{K}) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d^n + o(d^n)}{d^n} = 1. \tag{8}$$

For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ be defined as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N = \left\{ \widehat{x} \colon \eta(\widehat{x}) > \frac{1}{N} \text{ and } l(\widehat{x}) \leq N \right\}$$

and set $\widehat{\nu}_N := 1_{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N} \widehat{\nu}$ and $\nu_N = (\pi_0)_* \widehat{\nu}_N$. We also set $\mathcal{L}_N := \pi_0\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right)$. We are going to prove that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(A) \geq \nu_N(A) \text{ for every borelian } A, \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{9}$$

As by hypothesis $\nu_N(A) \to \nu(A)$ as $N \to \infty$, the assertion will then follow from (8) and (9). So we turn to prove (9). In order to do this, it suffices to prove the following:

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \widehat{a} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N, \forall \text{ closed } C \subset B\left(a_0, \frac{1}{2N}\right) : \tilde{\sigma}(C) \geq \nu_N(C). \tag{10}$$

Indeed, given any Borelian subset $A \subset \mathcal{K}$, since $\mathcal{K}$ is compact we can find a partition of $A \cap \mathcal{L}_N$ into finite borelian sets A_i, each of which contained in an open ball $B\left(a_0^i, \frac{1}{3N}\right)$, with $\widehat{a}^i \in \mathcal{L}_N$. The

assertion thus follows from (10) since, for every A_i, the values $\tilde{\sigma}(A_i)$ and $\nu_N(A_i)$ are the suprema of the respective measures on closed subsets of A_i (which by construction are contained in $B(a_0^i, \frac{1}{2N})$).

In the following we thus fix a closed subset $C \subset B(a_0, \frac{1}{2N})$. We shall denote by C_δ the closed δ-neighbourhood of C (in $\mathcal{K}$). Take some δ such that $\delta < \frac{1}{2N}$ and notice that, since $\widehat{a} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N$, we have $C_\delta \subset B(a_0, \frac{1}{N}) \subset B(a_0, \eta(\widehat{a}))$. Then, according to the property (1) of the natural extension $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nu}\right)$, we can define the set:

$$\widehat{R}_n^\delta = \left\{ \widehat{x} \in \widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N \colon x_0 = a_0 \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta) \cap C \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Let us denote by S_n^δ the set of preimages of C_δ of the form $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)$ with $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{R}_n^\delta$, by the property (2) of $\left(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nu}\right)$, the elements of S_n^δ are mutually disjoint for $n \geq \tilde{n}_0$ (and of course Card $S_n^\delta \leq d^n$). We claim that Card S_n^δ satisfies the following two estimates:

(1) $\frac{1}{d^n}$ Card $S_n^\delta \leq \sigma_n(C_\delta)$, for $n \geq n_0 \geq \tilde{n}_0$, where n_0 depends only on C and δ;
(2) $\frac{1}{d^n}$ Card $S_n^\delta \, \nu(C_\delta) \geq \widehat{\nu}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-n}\left(\widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right) \cap \widehat{C}\right)$.

Before proving the estimates (1) and (2), let us show how (10) follows from them. Combining (1) and (2) we get $\widehat{\nu}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-n}\left(\widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right) \cap \widehat{C}\right) \leq \nu(C_\delta)\sigma_n(C_\delta)$ and, since $\widehat{\nu}$ is mixing, letting $n \to \infty$ on a subsequence such that $\sigma_{n_i} \to \tilde{\sigma}$ we find $\widehat{\nu}\left(\widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right)\widehat{\nu}\left(\widehat{C}\right) \leq \nu(C_\delta)\tilde{\sigma}(C_\delta)$. Since the left hand side is equal to $\nu_N(C_\delta)\,\nu(C)$ (and C is closed), (10) follows letting $\delta \to 0$.

We are thus left to proving the inequalities (1) and (2) above. We shall see that the first one follows from the Lipschitz estimate on $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}$, while the second is a consequence of the fact that ν is of constant Jacobian (i.e., for every borelian set $A \subset \mathcal{K}$ on which $\mathcal{F}$ is injective we have $d\nu(A) = \nu(\mathcal{F}(A))$).

Let us start with (1). We have to find an integer n_0 such that, for $n \geq n_0$, the neighbourhood C_δ contains al least Card S_n^δ repelling periodic points for $\mathcal{F}$. Take any $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{R}_n^\delta$. Since $\widehat{R}_n^\delta \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N$, one has $\eta(\widehat{x}) \geq \frac{1}{N}$ and $l(\widehat{x}) \leq N$. This means that $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}$ is well defined on $C_\delta \subset B(a_0, \frac{1}{N})$ and that Diam $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta) \leq \frac{1}{N}\,\mathrm{Lip}\,\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n} \leq \frac{1}{N} N e^{-nL} = e^{-nL}$. Let us now take n_0 such that $3e^{-n_0 L} < \delta$. Since, by definition of $\widehat{R}_n^\delta$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)$ intersects C and $C \subset C_\delta$, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta) \subset C_\delta$ for every $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{R}_n^\delta$, with $n \geq n_0$. So, since C_δ is itself a compact metric space and $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}$ is stricly contracting on it (the condition $3e^{-n_0 L} < \delta < \frac{1}{2N}$ also implies that $\mathrm{Lip}\,\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n} < 1$ for $n \geq n_0$), we find a (unique) fixed point for it in C_δ. Since the elements of S_n^δ are disjoint, we have found at least Card S_n^δ periodic points (whose period divides n) for $\mathcal{F}$ in C_δ, which must be repelling by the Lipschitz estimate of the local inverse, and so (1) is proved.

For the second inequality, we have

$$\widehat{\nu}\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-n}\left(\widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right) \cap \widehat{C}\right) \leq \nu\left(\pi\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^{-n}\left(\widehat{C}_\delta \cap \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_N\right) \cap \widehat{C}\right)\right) \leq \nu\left(\bigcup_{\widehat{x}\in\widehat{R}_n^\delta} (\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)) \cap C\right)$$

$$\leq \nu\left(\bigcup_{\widehat{x}\in\widehat{R}_n^\delta} (\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta))\right) = \sum_{\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)\in S_n^\delta} \nu\left(\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)\right) = \sum_{\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{x}}^{-n}(C_\delta)\in S_n^\delta} \frac{1}{d^n}\nu(C_\delta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d^n}\left(\text{Card } S_n^\delta\right)\nu(C_\delta)$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that ν is of constant Jacobian. □

We can now show how conditions II.2 and II.3 (which we recall are equivalent) imply condition II.1 in Theorem 4.11.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps, of degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that there exist an acritical equilibrium web $\mathcal{M}$ and an equilibrum lamination $\mathcal{L}$ for f. Then, there exists a subset $\mathcal{P} = \cup_n \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{J}$, such that*

(1) $\text{Card}\,\mathcal{P}_n = d^n + o(d^n)$;
(2) every $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is n-periodic; and
(3) $\forall M' \Subset M$, asymptotically every element of $\mathcal{P}$ is repelling: $\frac{\text{Card}\{\text{ repelling cycles in } \mathcal{P}_n\}}{\text{Card}\,\mathcal{P}_n} \to 1$.

Moreover, $\sum_{\mathcal{P}_n} \delta_\gamma \to \mathcal{M}$.

The need to restrict to compact subsets of M is due to the fact that the construction of the equilibrium lamination is essentially local (see Proposition 4.12). Thus, the assumptions of Lemma 4.15 are satisfied on relatively compact subsets of M.

Proof. We consider the set $\mathcal{P} = \cup_n \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{J}$ given by Lemma 4.14. We just need to prove the third assertion. We thus fix $M' \Subset M$ and consider the compact metric space $\mathcal{O}(M', \bar{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{C}^k)$. By Proposition 4.12 and the implication II.1 $\Rightarrow$ II.3 of Theorem 4.11 all the assumptions of Lemma 4.15 are satisfied by the system $(\mathcal{O}(M', \bar{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{C}^k), \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M})$, with $\mathcal{L}$ any equilibrium lamination for the system. The assumption (P2) is verified since this is true at any fixed parameter. The statement follows from the following two assertions:

(1) for every repelling periodic $\gamma \in R_n$ given by Lemma 4.15, the point $\gamma(\lambda)$ is repelling for every $\lambda \in M'$; and
(2) asymptotically all elements of R_n coincide with elements of $\mathcal{P}_n$.

The first point is a consequence of the Lipschitz estimate of the local inverse of $\mathcal{F}^n$ at the points of R_n (since the Lipschitz constant of $\mathcal{F}^{-n}$ dominates the Lipschitz constant of f_λ^{-n}, for every λ), the second of the fact that both $\mathcal{P}_n$ and R_n have cardinality $d_t^n + o(d_t^n)$ and, at every λ, the number of n-periodic points is d_t^n. □

4.4. **Proof of Theorem C.** In this section we show that the conditions stated in the Theorems 4.5 and 4.11 are all equivalent. This completes the proof of Theorem C.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that the parameter space is simply connected. Then conditions I.1 – I.4 of Theorem 4.5 and II.1 – II.3 of Theorem 4.11 are all equivalent.*

It is immediate to see, by the definition of an equilibrium lamination, that condition II.3 (the existence of a lamination) implies condition I.4 (existence of a graph avoiding the postcritical set), since any element in the lamination satisfies the desired property. Viceversa, by Proposition 4.13, we see that condition I.4 directly implies a local version of Theorem 4.5. Using the uniqueness of the equilibrium lamination, we can nevertheless recover that the conditions in Theorem 4.5 imply the ones in Theorem 4.11 on all the parameter space. This is done in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.18. *Let $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a holomorphic family of polynomial-like maps of large topological degree $d_t \geq 2$. Assume that the parameter space M is simply connected and that every point $\lambda_0 \in M$ has a neighbourhood where the system admits an equilibrium lamination. Then f admits an equilibrium lamination on all the parameter space.*

In particular, if condition I.4 holds, the assumptions of Proposition 4.18 are satisfied (by Proposition 4.13 and Theorem 4.11) and thus condition II.3 holds, too. This complete the proof of Theorem 4.17.

Proof. Consider a countable cover $\{B_n\}$ by open balls of the parameter space M, with the property that on every B_n the system admits an equilibrium lamination $\mathcal{L}_n$. In particular, on every B_n the restricted system admits an acritical web. Consider two intersecting balls B_1 and B_2. By the uniqueness of the equilibrium web on the intersection (which is simply connected), both the corresponding webs induce the same one on $B_1 \cap B_2$. By analytic continuation, and up to removing a zero-measure (for the web on the intersection) subset of graphs from the laminations $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ (and all their images and preimages, which are always of measure zero), we obtain a set of holomorphic graphs, defined on all of $B_1 \cup B_2$, that satisfy all the properties required in Definition 1.2, thus giving an equilibrium lamination there. The assertion follows repeating the argument, since the cover is countable and M is simply connected (and thus we do not have holonomy problems when glueing the laminations). □

References

[BB07] Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot. Bifurcation currents in holomorphic dynamics on $\mathbb{CP}^k$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelle's Journal)*, 2007(608):201–235, 2007.

[BBD15] François Berteloot, Fabrizio Bianchi, and Christophe Dupont. Dynamical stability and Lyapunov exponents for holomorphic endomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^k$. *To appear on the Annales Scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure, arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.7603*, 2015.

[BD99] Jean-Yves Briend and Julien Duval. Exposants de Liapounoff et distribution des points périodiques d'un endomorphisme de $\mathbb{CP}^k$. *Acta mathematica*, 182(2):143–157, 1999.

[BD14] Pierre Berger and Romain Dujardin. On stability and hyperbolicity for polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. *To appear on the Annales Scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.4449*, 2014.

[Ber10] François Berteloot. Lyapunov exponent of a rational map and multipliers of repelling cycles. *Rivista di Matematica della Università di Parma*, 1(2):263–269, 2010.

[Bia16] Fabrizio Bianchi. *Motions of Julia sets and dynamical stability in several complex variables*. PhD thesis, Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier and Universitá di Pisa, 2016.

[BT16] Fabrizio Bianchi and Johan Taflin. Bifurcations in the elementary desboves family. *To appear on the Proceedings of the AMS, arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.01603*, 2016.

[CFS82] Isaak P. Cornfeld, Sergej V. Fomin, and Ya G. Sinai. *Ergodic theory*. Springer, 1982.

[DeM01] Laura DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus. *Mathematical Research Letters*, 8(1-2):57–66, 2001.

[DeM03] Laura DeMarco. Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity. *Mathematische Annalen*, 326(1):43–73, 2003.

[DL13] Romain Dujardin and Mikhail Lyubich. Stability and bifurcations for dissipative polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 200(2):439–511, 2013.

[DS03] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Dynamique des applications d'allure polynomiale. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 82(4):367–423, 2003.

[DS06] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Geometry of currents, intersection theory and dynamics of horizontal-like maps. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 56(2):423–457, 2006.

[DS10] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings. In *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, pages 165–294. Springer, 2010.

[Duj16] Romain Dujardin. Non density of stability for holomorphic mappings on $\mathbb{P}^k$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.01785*, 2016.

[Fed96] Herbert Federer. *Geometric Measure Theory*. Springer, 1996.

[FS01] John Erik Fornæss and Nessim Sibony. Dynamics of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (examples). *Contemporary Mathematics*, 269:47–86, 2001.

[Gro03] Mikhaïl Gromov. On the entropy of holomorphic maps. *Enseign. Math*, 49(3-4):217–235, 2003.

[HP94] John H Hubbard and Peter Papadopol. Superattractive fixed points in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 43(1):311–365, 1994.

[HS74] Reese Harvey and Bernard Shiffman. A characterization of holomorphic chains. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 99(3):553–587, 1974.

[Lyu83] Mikhail Lyubich. Some typical properties of the dynamics of rational maps. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 38(5):154–155, 1983.

[MSS83] Ricardo Mané, Paulo Sad, and Dennis Sullivan. On the dynamics of rational maps. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 16(2):193–217, 1983.

[Ose68] Valery Iustinovich Oseledets. A multiplicative ergodic theorem: Characteristic Lyapunov, exponents of dynamical systems. *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva*, 19:179–210, 1968.

[Par69] William Parry. *Entropy and generators in ergodic theory.* WA Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.

[Pha05] Ngoc-Mai Pham. Lyapunov exponents and bifurcation current for polynomial-like maps. *ArXiv preprint math/0512557*, 2005.

[Siu74] Yum-Tong Siu. Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 27(1):53–156, 1974.